

| 1 2 3 4 | SHEA LAW OFFICES Mary Shea Hagebols (SBN 113222) shealaw@aol.com 1814 Franklin Street, Suite 800 Oakland, CA 94612 Telephone: 510-208-4422 Facsimile: 415-520-9407 VAN DE POEL, LEVY & ALLEN LLP Jeffrey W. Allen (SBN 099240) jallen@vanlevylaw.com Nina Paul (SBN 249954) npaul@vanlevylaw.com 1600 South Main Plaza, Suite 325 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Telephone: 925-934-6102 Facsimile: 925-934-6060 | | | |
|------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| 5 6 7 8 | | | | |
| 9 | Attorneys for Plaintiff JANET HALEY | | | |
| 10 11 12 13 | SEYFARTH SHAW LLP Francis J. Ortman III (SBN 213202) fortman@seyfarth.com Matthew J. Mason (SBN 271344) mmason@seyfarth.com 560 Mission Street, 31st Floor San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 397-2823 Facsimile: (415) 397-8549 | | | |
| 14 15 16 17 18 | Attorneys for Defendant COHEN & STEERS CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC. AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP Catherine A. Conway (SBN 98366) cconway@akingump.com 2029 Century Park East, Suite 2400 Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 229-1000 Facsimile: (310) 229-1001 | | | |
| 19 20 | Attorneys for Defendant DAVID EDLIN | | | |
| 21 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | | |
| 22 | IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | | |
| 23 | OAKLAND | | | |
| 24 | JANET HALEY,) Case No. C10-03856-PJH | | | |
| 25 | Plaintiff, STIPULATION TO CONTINUE PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO QUASH, | | | |
| 26 | v. OR ALTERNATIVELY, TO MODIFY,) THE THIRD PARTY SUBPOENA | | | |
| 27 28 | COHEN & STEERS CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC., A New York Corporation Doing Business in California; and SERVED ON CONSTELLATION ENERGY GROUP, INC. AND SUBPOENAS/DEPOSITION NOTICES | | | |
| - 1 | | | | |

| 1 | DAVID EDLIN, an individual,) WITH DOCUMENTS REQUESTED | | |
|-----|---|--|--|
| 2 |) DIRECTED TO THIRD PARTY Defendants.) WITNESSES CHAD FEILKE AND | | |
| 3 |) GREGORY KOSIER | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and among Plaintiff JANET HALEY ("Plaintiff"), | | |
| 6 | Defendant COHEN & STEERS CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC. ("Cohen & Steers") and | | |
| 7 | Defendant DAVID EDLIN ("Edlin") (collectively the "Parties"), through their respective | | |
| 8 | undersigned counsel, as follows: | | |
| 9 | WHEREAS, Defendants served a Notice of Deposition of third party witness Gregory | | |
| 10 | Kosier ("Kosier") on June 22, 2011 (and have unsuccessfully attempted to serve the | | |
| 11 | accompanying subpoena on Kosier) noticing the deposition of Kosier for July 20, 2011; | | |
| 12 | WHEREAS, Defendants served a Notice of Deposition of third party witness Chad Feilke | | |
| 13 | ("Feilke") on June 23, 2011 and served the accompanying subpoena on Feilke on June 27, 2011 | | |
| 14 | noticing the deposition of Feilke for July 22, 2011; | | |
| 15 | WHEREAS, Defendants served a Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or | | |
| 16 | Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises to Constellation Energy Group, Inc. ("Constellation | | |
| 17 | Energy") (Mr. Kosier's employer) on June 27, 2011; | | |
| 18 | WHEREAS, Plaintiff served her Objections to Defendants' Notice of Deposition of | | |
| 19 | Gregory Kosier and Request for Production of Documents on July 1, 2011; | | |
| 20 | WHEREAS, Plaintiff served her Objections to Defendants' Subpoena to Produce | | |
| 21 | Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises to Constellation Energy | | |
| 22 | Group, Inc. on July 1, 2011; | | |
| 23 | WHEREAS, Plaintiff subsequently filed her Motion to Quash, or Alternatively, to | | |
| 24 | Modify, the Third Party Subpoena Served on Constellation Energy Group, Inc. and | | |
| 25 | Subpoenas/Deposition Notices With Documents Requested Directed to Third Party Witnesses | | |
| 26 | Chad Feilke and Gregory Kosier ("Motion to Quash") on July 6, 2011 and set a hearing date for | | |
| 27 | August 23, 2011; | | |
| 28 | WHEREAS, the deadline by which Defendants would have been required to file their | | |
| - 1 | | | |

1

2 3

4 5

6

7 8

10

9

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Quash was July 20, 2011;

WHEREAS, the deadline by which Plaintiff would have been required to file her Reply in support of her Motion to Quash was July 27, 2011;

WHEREAS, the Parties have agreed to seek the services of a Special Master and/or Discovery Referee to handle discovery disputes going forward;

WHEREAS, the Parties agree that the issues or disputes surrounding the deposition notices and/or subpoenas mentioned herein will be the subject of review and decision by the prospective Special Master and/or Discovery Referee;

WHEREAS, Defendants informed Quest Discovery Services that the order for records subpoenaed from Constellation Energy should be placed on a thirty-day hold, and will not be released to either party until August 13, 2011 at the earliest;

WHEREAS, the Parties have also contacted Constellation Energy and informed them that the Motion to Quash is pending and that records need not be produced until further notice;

WHEREAS, the Parties have agreed to hold a Case Management Conference with the Honorable Judge Phyllis J. Hamilton on August 25, 2011 to make a determination with respect to appointment of a Special Master and/or Discovery Referee;

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and among the Parties, through their respective undersigned counsel, that Plaintiff's Motion to Quash is to be taken off calendar and the hearing date for the Motion to Quash is to be continued indefinitely pending the procuring of a Special Master and/or Discovery Referee who will decide the issues that are the subject of the Motion to Quash, and that the Parties' respective deadlines for Defendants' brief in Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Quash, and Plaintiff's brief in Reply to Defendants' brief in Opposition be accordingly continued indefinitely;

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED by and among the Parties that if, for any reason, a Special Master and/or Discovery Referee is not retained and/or has not made a decision with respect to the issues that are the subject of the Motion to Quash on or by August 13, 2011, that the Parties will contact Quest Discovery Services and ask that the order for records continue to be placed on hold pending resolution of the issues with respect to the

Case 4:10-cv-03856-PJH Document 93 Filed 08/04/11 Page 4 of 6

| - 1 | | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | subpoena for records to Constellation Energy, whether through a new hearing date for the | | | |
| 2 | Motion to Quash, by agreement of the Parties or by later decision of the Special Master and/or | | | |
| 3 | Discovery Referee, and will further notify Constellation Energy of the status of the Motion to | | | |
| 4 | Quash and subpoena; | | | |
| 5 | IT IS HEREBY FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED by and among the Parties | | | |
| 6 | that, should Plaintiff's Motion to Quash be placed back on calendar and set for hearing at any | | | |
| 7 | time, Defendants shall have seven calendar days from the date the Motion to Quash is placed | | | |
| 8 | back on calendar to file their brief in Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Quash, and accordingly | | | |
| 9 | Plaintiff shall have seven calendar days from the deadline for Defendants' brief in Opposition to | | | |
| 10 | Plaintiff's Motion to Quash in which to file her brief in Reply to Defendants' brief in Opposition | | | |
| 11 | to Plaintiff's Motion to Quash, and in no instance shall the hearing for Plaintiff's Motion to | | | |
| 12 | Quash be set earlier than seven calendar days after the deadline for Plaintiff's brief in Reply to | | | |
| 13 | Defendants' brief in Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Quash. | | | |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | | | | |
| 16 | | | | |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | | | | |
| 26 | | | | |
| 27 | | | | |
| 28 | | | | |

| 1 | IT IS SO STIPULATED. | | |
|----------|--|--|--|
| 2 | DATED: August 2, 2011 | SHEA LAW OFFICES | |
| 3 | | By: /s/ Mary Shea Hagebols | |
| 4 | | Mary Shea Hagebols Attorney for Plaintiff JANET HALEY | |
| 5 | DATED: August 2, 2011 | VAN DE POEL, LEVY & ALLEN LLP | |
| 6 | Diffib. August 2, 2011 | VARVEE COEE, EEV T & ABEEN EER | |
| 7 | | By: /s/ Jeffrey W. Allen Jeffrey W. Allen | |
| 8 | | Nina Paul Attorneys for Plaintiff | |
| 9 | | JANET HALEY | |
| 10 | DATED: August 2, 2011 | SEYFARTH SHAW LLP | |
| 11 | | By:/s/ Matthew J. Mason | |
| 12 | | Francis J. Ortman, III Matthew J. Mason | |
| 13 | | Attorneys for Defendant COHEN & STEERS CAPITAL | |
| 14 | | MANAGEMENT, INC. | |
| 15 16 | DATED: August 2, 2011 | AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP | |
| 17 | | Dev /-/ Catharina A. Camana | |
| 18 | | By: /s/ Catherine A. Conway Catherine A. Conway Attorney for Defendant | |
| 19 | | DAVID EDLIN | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |
| | 5 | | |
| | Stipulation to Continue Plaintiff's Motion to Quash / Case No. C10-03856-PJH | | |

in Reply to Defendants' brief in Opposition are accordingly continued indefinitely;

1

2

4 5

7

6

9

8

11

10

13

12

1415

16

17 18

19

2021

22

23

2425

2627

28

-[PROPOSED]-ORDER

The parties having so stipulated, and GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

Plaintiff shall file a Notice of Motion and

Plaintiff's Motion to Quash is off calendar and the hearing date for the Motion to Quash Withdrawl of the motion is without prejudice to re-noticing the motion at a later date. is continued indefinitely pending the procuring of a Special Master and/or Discovery Referee who will decide the issues that are the subject of the Motion to Quash, and the Parties' respective deadlines for Defendants' brief in Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Quash, and Plaintiff's brief

If, for any reason, a Special Master and/or Discovery Referee is not retained and/or has not made a decision with respect to the issues that are the subject of the Motion to Quash on or by August 13, 2011, the Parties will contact Quest Discovery Services and ask that the order for records continue to be placed on hold pending resolution of the issues with respect to the subpoena for records to Constellation Energy, whether through a new hearing date for the Motion to Quash, by agreement of the Parties or by later decision of the Special Master and/or Discovery Referee, and further notify Constellation Energy of the status of the Motion to Quash and/or subpoena;

re-filed

Should Plaintiff's Motion to Quash be placed back on calendar and set for hearing at any re-filed time, Defendants shall have seven calendar days from the date the Motion to Quash is placed back on calendar to file their brief in Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Quash, and accordingly, Plaintiff shall have seven calendar days from the deadline for Defendants' brief in Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Quash in which to file her brief in Reply to Defendants' brief in Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Quash, and in no instance shall the hearing for Plaintiff's Motion to Quash be set earlier than seven calendar days after the deadline for Plaintiff's brief in Reply to Defendants' brief in Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Quash.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: August 3, 2011

Elijah? D. Legi

MAGISTRATE JUDGE ELIZABETH D. LAPORTE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

|| 13627934v.1